

Minutes
North Lebanon Township Municipal Authority
April 14, 2005

The meeting of the North Lebanon Township Municipal Authority was held on Thursday, April 14, 2005, at 7:00 PM at the North Lebanon Township Municipal Building, 725 Kimmerlings Road Lebanon, PA with the following Board members present:

Wynanne Demler	Chairman
Richard Miller	Vice Chairman
Tod Dissinger	Treasurer
Ronald Ensminger	Secretary
Susan Switzer Pierce	Asst. Secretary
Sheila Wartluft	Asst. Mgr.
Fred Wolf	Henry & Beaver
Scott Rights	Steckbeck Engineering

Also in attendance were Wastewater Foreman Mike Kneasel and 1 Township resident.

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC

There were no comments from the public this evening.

Chairperson Demler asked for a motion to approve the March minutes. Sec. Ensminger said he had a correction. He noted that he was at the meeting, but his name was omitted from the minutes. Chairperson Demler asked that the minutes reflect the correction.

MOTION: Motion was made and second to approve the March minutes with the correction as noted above. Motion approved.

Next, Chairperson Demler asked for a motion to approve the invoices and requisitions for payment all subject to audit.

MOTION: Motion was made and second to approve invoices and requisitions for payment all subject to audit. Motion approved.

SOLICITORS REPORT

Water Project Update

Sheila and Sol Wolf have a list of people that they need to work with on various problems. They have a group of some people that have signed the payment agreement, but have not made their required payments according to that agreement. There are also some people that are having some difficulty making the second payment, which was due by January 31st. They are doing, with the Board's permission, with the people that have legitimate reasons for not making the second payment, is to put them on a monthly payment. Then we have a group of people who are not connected to the water system and most of those have been in contact with the office to discuss the reasons for not connecting. They have reviewed this list and developed a form letter to send to them to verify their situation. The ones that said their plumber could not get to them last fall are

Water Project Update(cont'd)

the ones that we want to see get connected. Their letter will state that we expect them to connect as soon as possible, unless we hear otherwise from them or their plumber. We would expect that they be connected by the end of May. We do have a couple of people that are on the list that have verified medical, skin and health problems, which Sheila and himself have received the information and will review with the Board as they get closer to the end of May. We are trying to encourage everyone to be connected by the end of May. If we still have someone that is working with the Redevelopment Authority, we will work with him or her. By the next meeting, we will submit the information to you for those that have medical problems. We have some people who have not paid the debt service on the initial bill. We are down to about 6 of those persons and are all those that have not connected. We need to find out what is going on with them and it may be necessary to take legal action, although we really do not want to do that. If we have those that say they are not going to connect, no matter what, and will not pay the debt service, not matter what, then we will have to look at what our options are and we may have to take legal action. We are hoping to be able to work something out with these people, rather than go to litigation. But if we do have to go to litigation, then those litigation issues will not be discussed in an open meeting, for obvious reasons. One of these is so that individual names not are given out at a meeting. The other thing would be in the event if there were any legal procedure, we would have strategies that would have to be discussed. If we have to go to litigation then that would be done through executive session. Tod had a question about the tapping fee for these people that have not signed any agreement or paid their tapping fees. What do they now pay? Sol Wolf, as required by law, all municipalities have until June 30, 2005 to re-calculate sewer and water tapping fees based on a new calculation that is mandated by the legislature. We have been working on this and we will be adopting this at our June meeting. The sewer-tapping fee is going to be around what it is now, \$3,500. The water-tapping fee will also be in the same range. Part of what we are informing the people that have not paid their tapping fee nor signed an agreement for the payment of the tapping fee, that when we get to the point where the rates are re-established, they will be affected by these new rates and be required to pay the tapping fee that is established at that time. For the residents of this project, it was decided by this Authority that everyone would get a break and not be charged what the calculation could justify. So everyone was charged \$1,000 and could make that in 3 payments without interest. That will now change for those people that do not enter into an agreement or pay the full amount by the meeting in June.

As far as the water system itself, we are definitely finished with the contractor. We did clean one thing up with a right-of-way issue with Ed Arnold. We had gotten a right-of-way on his farm property and it was not a permanent one, rather a temporary right-of-way for us to get the line from one side of the street to the other. We had an agreement with him because the right-of-way was in his field that was planted with a special type of grass. As we got down to the end of this, he wanted to get this done in early spring. Instead of having Marks do the planting, if we would pay him for the grass seed, which was \$150, he would do the planting himself. So this is what we did and Sheila had a check issued to him for \$150.

Sol Wolf asked Scott if he had anything that he would like to discuss about the water project at this time? They have since compiled all of the record drawings and the service line cards. He stated that they are going to hand deliver this information to the City tomorrow. They are then going to be requesting that the lines be dedicated over to the City. Tod asked about the paving that was done on Maple Street. He said they cut that out and paved that. Who did it? Scott said

Water Project Update(cont'd)

this surprised everyone, PennDot did it. Scott said it had been scheduled to be done last year and then they postponed it. We then asked them when they were planning to do it and they had no answer for him. Just by accident he had been out to see Mike at the pump station and he happened to see all the orange markings along Maple Street. He asked Mike if he got a call on this? Mike said they received nothing. The one thing that has him concerned is the fact that when the construction for the new pump station takes place, we will have to cut into the sewer line on Maple Street. The sewer is basically dead center in the road. So he called PennDot and explained this to them, since they issued a permit for the pump station construction and are allowing to open cut Maple Street. The person he spoke to from PennDot said that it was their fault that it was paved prior to the project being done and they take responsibility for it. Tod asked if that is what they call a "finished" product? Scott said he hasn't seen the work yet. Tod said it is absolutely terrible if they are calling it repaved. Tod said it was beautiful after Marks did the driveway restorations. Now it is horrible. They cut out the driveways that Marks repaired after the water line went in and replaced it with what they are calling paving. There are two different kinds of asphalt, and is very uneven.

Sol Wolf said, as we know Mr. Piazza is increasing the number of units in his mobile home park and that was to be presented to the Planning Commission Monday night. He spoke to Cheri about this and with the exception of a few minor items, everything was OK with the Planning Commission. If this is the case, then the Supervisors would be voting on the approval at their meeting of May 2nd. Since municipal sewer services the park, we will be adding an additional 18 units on to his account. His park was connected to the water system as well recently.

There are two developments that are currently in process, Narrows Glen on Narrows Drive will become a customer of both the sewer system and water system. The other plan that is being developed is for Spring Creek off of Kimmerlings Road and North 8th Avenue. This one will also become a customer of both the sewer and water system in the Township. That development, just as Briar Lake, will impact the 8th Avenue pump station. So they will also be contributing to the cost of that upgrade as well. The requirements will be the same as with Briar Lake, with the payment paid in full in five years and this will be bonded as part of the developers bonding. All of the sewer capacity, for the developments, had been arranged with the City at the end of last year, with the exception of Spring Creek. The rest of the developments had already applied and received their capacity before the rate increase came into affect from \$800 to \$1,575.

8th Avenue Pumpstation

Sol Wolf said we want to look at the plans tonight and hopefully get some approvals so this project can move forward. He went on to explain that the land that the new station is going on is the property that we purchased last year at public auction next to the old station. Scott explained that this is a "flag" lot, meaning you have an access drive leading back to the actual lot that the station will be built on. The area surrounding the paved area will be planted with low maintenance type grass. Based on what we have, it will be a block building with a wet well and back up engines on these pumps in the event of a power failure, the back up engines will kick in and get the pumps going again. These engines will be run by propane and you may asked, "Why propane, when there is natural gas that runs down North 8th Avenue?" The reason being, they called UGI and found out that there is a gas main that runs on North 8th Avenue and is a high-

8th Avenue Pumpstation(cont'd)

pressure transmission main and the tap off of that requires a special tap. UGI told them that this tap alone would cost \$10,000. So they decided to put a propane tank off to the side of the building and save that money. Scott said the back up engines would be exercised weekly. We did make the arrangements with Met Ed and the good news is that they are going to be able to make the changes to the power, without charging us extra. They will be using the same poles, and the usage charges would offset the cost to do the modifications to the poles that are out there now.

Scott went on to explain that the new force main will parallel the old force main near the right-of-way with the City going back behind the field, then turn 90 degrees and connect into the main on East Maple Street, just west of the intersection of East Maple and North 8th Avenue. It comes to about 675' of force main that will be involved in the project. Then, Scott briefly went into an explanation of the plans. The nice thing about this type of pump that we are using, the "Suction Lift" pump, is that they are placed inside the building and out of the sewage. Most conventional types stations are what they call, "Submersible" stations, where the pumps are actually submersed in the sewage. So if you have a problem, you have to pull the pump out of the sewage and clean them off before you can work on them. This building that we are planning, also allows us easy access to the pumps in the event of a problem. The wet well itself has a sewage grinder, that is mounted on the influent pipe as it comes into the wet well and it is basically a heavy duty garbage disposal. It just shreds stuff up and does not clog the pumps up. We have a ladder going down in there and then an intermediate landing, with just aluminum grading with handrails on both sides. The building is just a textured block building that comes in different colors. There is nothing fancy about the building, no windows in it, no vinyl siding, so there is no maintenance. Scott said there is ventilation planned for the building via louvers. He explained that the back up engine that is using the propane, when it runs, has 2 large air intakes and has the same on the exhaust side as well. There is also a separate louver for air intake for normal purposes. Vice Chairman Miller asked if those are piped in from the outside or is it drawing air from the room and re-circulating it? Scott said when the pumps kick on they generate a little heat and the louvers are made out of wax and they open up then because of the temperature. Miller also asked if the doors would be made out of steel? Scott said they would. Scott was asked if it is possible for these suction lift pumps to lose their prime? He said it is always that possibility, but these have a rubber flap inside that allows it to automatically re-prime when necessary. Scott then went on to explain that we also have a back up to the back up engine for the pump station. We have a trash pump and a by-pass connection at the pump station in the event that this does happen. Mike said out at Water Street, we had a problem with the starter on the engine. This procedure got us out of a big jam, so we know it works.

Scott reminded the Board, that at the last meeting, we obtained approval to advertise for bids once everything was good-to-go. He and Fred have to wrap up a few things on the contractual part, between the Authority and the contractor. Sol Wolf wanted Scott to explain how we are going to be making the transition from our current pump station to the new one. Scott said this is all part of the contract with the contractor. The old station will keep running while we are building the new one. When the new station is tested and checks out ok, then we'll switch things over. The contractor will put plugs in the line to prevent flow from going into the old pump station and will bypass the flow while this is going on. Once everything is up and going and the new station is on line, we will let the old station up for a couple of months to make sure that we have no problems with the new station. If there were to be a problem, we can always revert the flow back to the old

8th Avenue Pumpstation(cont'd)

station until the situation is taken care of. It is Mike's intent to keep to old wet well from the current pump station to use as an overflow, if for any reason we would have to back up in the new pump station, it will back up into the pipe and there is a manhole in the access drive that will take the overflow and then go back into the old wet well. Sol Wolf asked if this has all of the same safety features as the Water Street Station as far as the dialer alarms systems for electric failures and anything else that would cause the station or the pumps to shut down? Scott said it would have all of the same safety features. When a pump shuts down and loses electric or the back up engine fails, Mike gets the call. Scott said it does call for training to be provided. Sol Wolf said it would be 2 separate bids, one for the building/electric work and one for the pumps. This is because we want to make sure that we get the right pumps and get that directly from the manufacturer. A question was raised about replacement parts for the pumps. Scott said that is included in the pump procurement contract. Scott told the Board that normally we can get the parts we need within 24-hours of ordering them. This is the reason that we want to stay with the people that we had been dealing with prior to this project. There are other suction lift pumps available, but we have found these are the best out there, plus the others do not have local service reps. Mike said to give the Board an idea of what Scott is talking about, when they order parts for the hydromatic pumps, they wait up to 3-4 weeks for parts. Sol Wolf explained for the benefit of the newer members of the Board that before they started using the Gorman Rupp pumps, they had a lot of problems with maintenance. Mike, Sheila and Jeff from Scott's office, did a lot of research and found that the Gorman Rupp pump was the way to go. He said that the performance of the pumps at the Water Street pump station has been superb over the last seven years compared to the others.

Mike added that he went out to fix the station at Hillside Drive Pump station yesterday. It sounded like the ocean down there and it should not sound like that. So they opened the lid up and there was a hole in the pipe on the bottom. It sprayed up through the well about 6' in the air. Today they went and fixed that leak, restarted it and found another hole right aside of the other one. It was a very tiny hole. They then had to go down in and tear that apart as well. This one that is on the list to be taken out of service because of the Business Park.

Pertinent Issues

We have had problems for many years where we have sewer right-of-ways as it goes through people's property. We have a list of people now that are violating the right-of-way agreement by having sheds, trees, and whatever you can think of in those areas that are dedicated to the Authority for the maintenance of the sewer line. Sheila and Mike have been taking a more pro-active stance when dealing with development plans and trying to prevent this from happening. One of the areas where Sheila is concerned is Homestead Acres. The problem in this development is that the sewer line in behind the houses and the lots are small. But the property owners put a shed on it anyway and it is usually in our right-of-way. It seems the further they go in phases, the bigger the sheds get. We thought in the beginning, since they were small, all though we didn't like it, maybe we could work around it. Then as we were in the current phase that is being built, Sheila made sure that she got in touch with the developer/contractor and also the owner if possible and informed them about the right-of-way, via a letter. Now we have two huge sheds in our right-of-way and something has to be done. What happens is that until we get a copy of the building permit, it is usually too late because it is

Pertinent Issues(cont'd)

usually after the fact.. If you stand in the high weeds behind these homes, and look up towards Sandhill Road, almost every property has something in this right-of-way. When two or three people have to move something such as a shed and others don't, then they'll be in here screaming about it. He is asking all of the Board members to go out and take a look at this so they know what we are all talking about when someone comes in and complains about it. It was asked if we could identify these right-of-ways for the landowners? We have manholes back there with flags, so they can see all of the manholes all in a row. It pretty much drops off about 4-5 feet behind these sheds. Mike said, just today, they were asked to raise this manhole 6' in this backyard, so they can grade the yard better and make it more appealing. There is one shed that is so close to the edge of the property, that it looks like the corner is about to drop off into the creek. Sheila said she has contacted County Planning on several of the building permits she had received in the past, because some of drawings showed the tip of the house is where our sewer right-of-way starts. Miller asked if there shouldn't be some type of a warning to the person building the home? Sheila said she doesn't know what more she can do to inform them. Sol Wolf said she already gave them notice in writing and they still are doing what they want. She then sent the developer a letter confirming this information. When the permit came in for the house with the building plan and the new owners name, she sends a letter to the new owner. Miller then said, so the right-of-way is shown right on the plan? Sheila said yes, it is very clearly marked. Tod asked what happens if they want to put a deck on the back? Would they have to remove the deck? Sheila said most of the plans come through with the deck already on, so that is the part of the house that is right on the edge on the right-of-way. Sol Wolf said the critical thing that the Board will notice when they go out to take a look at this is that if something were to go wrong with the sewer line in that area, there is nowhere for them to work. To begin with, it is going to be a mess since it is in the wetland area, plus then having these sheds there makes it virtually impossible. Tod said his thought is, if we are going to address this with one resident, we have to address it to all of them in the affected area at one time. That way we have all of them here at the same time, hearing the same information. When we took DEP in there, about 6 years ago, we wanted to know what we could do on this right-of-way. We were basically told, nothing. Tod asked how many sheds they feel are in violation? Both Mike and Sheila said at least 20 of them. The only bright spot in this whole thing is that we just finished up last year flushing these lines. Sheila said it is not only sheds that are on the right-of-way. It is ponds, pools, bridges, and all types of things on every single property. This is why Sheila is doing what she is doing by sending a letter to both the developer and new owner. We may have to work something out with County Planning that they will not issue any permits for sheds or the like until the homeowner signs something that states they cannot place a shed or anything else in the right-of-way. Sheila said when we ran into this problem a few years back, we have a list of about 400 people that have sewer right-of-ways on their properties. But when we talked to County, they said we should be looking through every single property every time there is a permit for a shed to make sure there isn't a right-of-way issue. So now, every building permit that comes through our office, she personally checks to make that the right-of-way is not being violated. Unfortunately, there is a 2-3 week delay between the permits being issued and us receiving a copy of the permit. Now, something like the pool she mentioned before, we caught, because that takes a little longer to get processed. But the two sheds that we are talking about, she had not seen a permit come through Tod said what would it take for a new development to follow our rules? The problem we are facing now is that there is another phase in this development, so we will be facing more of these shed problems. Tod then suggested

Pertinent Issues(cont'd)

placing signs in the right-of-way stating that nothing can be placed in this area. Sol Wolf said that is probably a good idea. They put that type of sign where a gas main is located and it seems to work. Sol Wolf said the problem is that everyone that builds a new house, wants a shed to put their lawn mower and yard tools in which is fine, but what they don't realize is that the home takes up all the available space on the lot for improvement and the only land that is left is the sewer right-of-way. Sol Wolf said this is in the area where Ralph Mase from Marks lives. His back yard had gone straight down to the sewer right-of-way and he wanted a level back yard, so he filled it in right on top of our right-of-way. We went to him and made him dig everything out. Sol Wolf said the only other thing he can think of is to make sure County Planning marks the lots that have a sewer right-of-way on it and make sure it states on the plan that nothing can be placed there and the developer and the owner have to sign off on the plan stating they will not place anything on it. If one ends up there after all of this notification, they will have to move it. Sol Wolf said where we lose control over this is when County Planning gives them a permit for a shed and we find out after the fact. We worked out a plan with them earlier that before they issue a building permit, if a sewer permit is required, that has to be presented and paid for prior to the building permit being issued. We need to do something like this with them for the additional structures on a property. Sol Wolf said these people are going to come in here now and say, no one ever told us that we couldn't place a shed there. Sheila reiterated, every single person with a sewer right-of-way, whether it is a new lot or an existing lot with a structure on it, got a letter. We put it in the newsletter, we now have the letter published on the website that was sent to these people. Sue asked, once the sheds are there, what recourse do we have? Sol Wolf said, number one, if they don't have a building permit to put the shed there, they are in violation of the zoning ordinance and it has to be moved. Sue asked, what about the sheds that you don't need a building permit for? How can we monitor those? Sheila said every shed or structure **must** have a building permit, no exceptions. Sue asked if the right-of-way is obvious to most people if they are looking at it? Sheila said not all of the time. Sol Wolf said unless you have a lot where you see the manholes at ground level, you probably would not recognize it if you were looking at it. Sheila said that this development was done many, many years ago before had such a rigorous maintenance schedule. Tod asked if we are going to send each of the property owners a letter telling them that the sheds have to be moved out of the right-of-way? Sol Wolf said he doesn't know what we are going to do about the swimming pool though. Sol Wolf said that is why we brought this up now because we want the Board to go out and look at this, so when the people come in to complain, everyone is aware of exactly what is going on. Mike said to give you an idea of what is involved in getting at these manholes. Before the houses were built, they pulled a manhole out of there, 271A, at least 30,000 gallons of water went into the pump station from this line into the pump station. Sue said maybe they think that the building permit for the house is all they needed for the shed as well. Tod asked if this area is such a tough area to maintain, why was the line permitted to be placed there in the first place? Mike said this was put in back in 1994 or 1993. That's why, with the Business Park, when you see that stone road that is what it is all about. We learned a lot from that situation over in Homestead Acres and are using the experience to prevent it from happening again. Just like the new development, Spring Creek, they have a 900' right-of-way and we are trying to figure out, how to make a right-of-way in there that is grass and if we go in there with the truck, we don't tear it up. Tod said he thinks technically, the people still own the land that the right-of-way is on. Sol Wolf said that is correct, they own the land, but we have the right-of-way to get in there to maintain our sewer line. Sheila said the way it is supposed to work is, it is their land, but it is supposed to stay grass with nothing else on it. Then there is

Pertinent Issues(cont'd)

another problem with the definition of a "permanent structure". Vice Chairman Miller asked if it isn't something that is attached to the ground, versus something that just sets on the ground?. Ron asked if there is no way when a development is put in, that a sign can not be placed at the edge stating "sewer line, private property of North Lebanon Township"? The problem with that is, it is not our property. Our line runs through **their** property, we only have the right to enter that property to maintain the line. Mike gave the example of the residents that want the manholes raised 6'. That would mean 6 more feet of fill on top of the line. Sue then said, well if we don't raise they'll just bury it. Ron suggested adding a clause in the right-of-way agreement stating anything that would have to be moved to maintain the line in the right-of-way, would be the responsibility of the homeowner and the Authority would not be responsible for any damages to the property in the process. Mike said the problem is that you would need a bulldozer to move some of those sheds, they are that big. Sheila added that it would mean we would have to be in the wetland, which we are not allowed to be in. Mike said we have to be consistent. We made Mase remove the fill from on top of the line and we cannot allow these people to fill in over the manhole and the line. Mike said it is critical if something should happen there because of the creek being right there. Sheila said what it boils down to is if something major happens in there, we cannot be wasting time trying to find a back hoe or something to come in and tear out a shed. We need to get to the problem as soon as possible. This is why we created the right-of-way. Tod asked how many manholes are in this right-of-way? Mike said 13 or 14 manholes in this run of 4,000' from the Ebenezer School to Water Street. Tod asked if the right-of-way machine could get in that far? Mike said there are right-of-ways that lead down as "T"s and we unroll hose off of the truck and feed the right-of-way machine water and then if we have to move, we either drag hose or move the right-of-way machine. It takes quite a while to get through there. It was decided that all of the affected people would be notified again, and hopefully, we can resolve this issue. Tod said, in all defense of these residents that have placed various things on their properties and the sewer right-of-ways, he has learned so much about the various procedures that have to be undertaken when doing something in the Township, If he didn't sit up here and listen to what Sheila, Mike and Fred say, he would have never known that you would need another building permit for a shed, when you have just built a new home. He would have thought since he had a permit to build the home, he should be able to put a shed on his lot as well. There are a lot of things that we as a Board take for granted people know, that in all reality, they do not. Sol Wolf said, but these are the same people that received the letter from Sheila about the sewer right-of-way and not placing anything in it. Sheila added that after she sent the letters, we received phone calls from some of the people wanting to know where the right-of-way is and our guys went out and marked it for them. But the rest of the people did not respond. So it is evident that some of the people want to do the right thing.

Union Canal Park Update

Sol Wolf stated that Union Canal Park had been waiting for money for quite a long time to make improvements to the park on the north side of Tunnel Hill Road. We had looked at a plan some time ago and took into consideration Scott's' comments. Initially, they were going to have to replace one of our manholes and put another new one in. We then told the parks engineer, that they did not have to do two, just one. This plan then sat for some time until about a week or ten days ago, until the money came in for the project. Sol Wolf then received a phone call from Lois Meiley who said that they were reviewing it with the engineer and were wondering why we were

Union Canal Park Update(cont'd)

making them spend more money than need be. We then got together the other day and went over this and Scott reminded us that the comment letter that he gave the engineer, and us they are only dealing with one existing manhole, which is in the PennDot right-of-way. If they don't change the terrain in there where our manhole is, then they don't need to do anything with our sewer system. They were saying that PennDot was going to make them raise the grade a foot, which then means, they will have to raise our manholes. They would have to go to Terre Hill and get a re-lined manhole instead of trying to do something for the foot that they would have to raise the manhole. It would probably be more cost effective to replace it than raise it. Of course, no one at that end remembers this, so he had to contact Lois Meiley today and told her the best thing they could do is to have their engineer get in touch with Scott and schedule a meeting so we can discuss this again. They should also try to work something out with PennDot in the meantime, because if they do not have to raise the grade around the manhole, then they do not need PennDots approval for their right-of-way.

Business Park

Sol Wolf reported that he has been hounding them, since we are getting near to the end of testing the line, and Valspar is getting ready to connect into the line and go on-line. We had provided an agreement to them that provided a number of different things, the major thing that relates to at this time, is the establishment of the \$56,000 escrow. That number came from Jeff on their end as their engineer, when we decided we would let them go with the alternate system. This was allowed as long as they bonded the line for 5 years. That way if anything happened to the line, we would have the escrow to fall back on to make the necessary repairs. But then, he got a letter from the business park saying, since they paid an extra \$12,000 for engineering fees, they thought they didn't have to put the \$56,000 in escrow. So Sol Wolf called the attorney for the business park and left a message for him stating he better check with Jeff because he was aware of this. The reason we had the escrow is because we are not sure that this system will work. Sheila asked Sol Wolf if he included the cost of the line televising in the agreement? He said he didn't but he did tell them that they have to pay the fee of the televising, about \$2,000 to make sure there are no bends or cracks in the lines. Tod said he thought that there was a stone road that went out over the 600' of line in that field. He asked Fred if he thinks we should put signs on there, that this is "private property" so that no one can drive in there? Tod said you just never know who will be driving on there. He realizes that it is compacted and everything, but what's to say that dump trucks are not going to be driving on this stone road, and possibly disturbing the line underneath. Sol Wolf said he is sure we could post signs there about "No Trespassing", as long as they are not planning on someone else using that as an access road to the business park. Tod said, that is his point; do we want just anybody driving over this line? Mike said it is our right-of-way; no one should be driving on it. Sol Wolf said it shouldn't be a problem since he doesn't think anyone else would be using it. Sol Wolf asked Sheila if this isn't the area that she is planning on having rocks placed? She said it is.

ENGINEERS REPORT**North 8th Avenue Pump Station**

Scott said he really has nothing to add on this as we have discussed it quite thoroughly earlier in the evening.

Plan Reviews

We also discussed the plans for Spring Creek earlier in the evening.

There is one plan that he reviewed since the last meeting and that is for the Sandhill Church. It is actually an add on to existing lands that the church owns. It does not involve sewer at all.

ASSISTANT MANAGER'S REPORT**Right-of-Way Obstructions**

Sheila stated that Sol Wolf took care of this earlier in the meeting.

Water Project Updates

She has been trying to get better records from the City so that she knows who has connected, paid, etc. As of this week, we have 56 people that have not connected to the water system. Last meeting, it was 62 people; so 6 people have connected since the last meeting. Vice Chairman Miller asked if we are still liable for enforcement of those that have not hooked up, even though the City has handed over the line? Unofficially, they have not taken ownership of the line, but are maintaining it. What happens when it does get transferred? Some the City will take care of enforcing, others we will since it is our ordinance for mandatory connection. Miller then asked about the financial and health reasons that people have not yet connected? Are we going to be taking these on a case-by-case basis? Sheila said basically, yes.

SEWER DEPARTMENT REPORT

Mike said he doesn't have a lot as well, except for the pipe bursting. Sol Wolf said we had talked about the force mains and this would be a good place to start.

Mike mentioned that Tom K is making some progress in the grease trap program. He does 2 inspections or visits a day and has been doing a lot of documentation on the project.

With no more business for the good of the Authority the meeting was adjourned at 8:50 PM.

Respectfully Submitted,

Tina M. Haser
Recording Secretary